IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.SESHASAYANA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 16350 of 2002

Between:

1. K. Srinamayya, S/o. Suryanarayana,
   R/o. Pedaoutupally Village, Ungutur Mandal,
   Krishna District.
2. Sk. Noorunnisa, W/o. Sk.Mastan,
   R/o. Pedaoutupally Village, Ungutur Mandal,
   Krishna District.
3. Sk. Mastan, S/o. Sk.Asad Ahmed Saheb,
   R/o. Pedaoutupally Village, Ungutur Mandal,
   Krishna District.
4. TTP & Sons, Rep. by its Managing Partner T.Jeji Prasad,
   S/o. Tata Prakasha Rao, a Partnership firm,
   having its office at Enikepadu Village, Vijayawada Rural Mandal,

Krishna District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The District Collector,
   Machilipatnam, Krishna District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
   Nuzividu, Krishna District.
3. The Mandal Revenue Officer,
   Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District.
4. Siddhartha Academy of General & Technical Education,

represented by its Secretary, having its Office at Siddharthanagar,

Vijayawada - 520 010.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly in the nature of *Writ of*

Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents 1 to 3 herein in seeking to alienate the lands situated in Poleramma Tank-bed situated in Chinaoutupally Village, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District in favour of the 4th Respondent herein as illegal and void.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.A.RAMANARAYANA, Advocate

(No more)

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

-

It is represented that Sri A. Ramanarayana, learned counsel for the petitioners is no more.

Despite notices being served on the petitioners 1 to 4 so as to enable them to make alternative arrangement in view of the demise of their counsel, neither the appear in person nor made alternative arrangement. It indicates that the petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No order as to costs.

_____

(B. SESHASAYANA REDDY, J)

Date: 19.07.2005

Ksn

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The District Collector, Machilipatnam, Krishna District.
2.The Revenue Divisional Officer, Nuzividu, Krishna District.
3. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Gannavaram Mandal, Krishna District.
4. 2 CCs to G.P. for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

5. 2 CD copies

6. 1 CC to M/SKODANDA RAM

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**KRD**}*